Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00594 (Version 1)

SECTION ONE: ESSENTIAL INFORMATION					
Service & Division:	Social Work Adult Services	Lead Officer Name:	Lesley MacArthur		
	None	Team:	Policy, Planning & Communications		
		Tel:	07850250552		
		Email:	lesley.macarthur@falkirk.gov.uk		
Proposal:	Falkirk HSCP current has a Partnership Funding Investment Plan, which sets out governance and high level investment plans for the range of Partnership Funds available for use across the Partnership. The Investment Plan is due for review and renewal by 1 April 2024. This is currently being progressed by commissioning groups aligned to each fund, which includes the Partnership Funding Group, a sub-group of the Strategic Planning Group.	Reference No:			

What is the Proposal?	Budget & Other Financial Decision	Policy (New or Change)	HR Policy & Practice	Change to Service Delivery / Service Design	
	Yes	No	No	No	
Who does the Proposal affect?	Service Users	Members of the Public	Employees	Job Applicants	
	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
Other, please specify:	External partners				

comer, produce	The state of the s				
Identify the m	dentify the main aims and projected outcome of this proposal (please add date of each update):				
31/03/2027	Falkirk HSCP have developed a sustainable infrastructure of community-based support that provides options for people to access services at the right time and in the right way.				
31/03/2027	Falkirk HSCP can measure the impact of investment in priority areas of development and transformation.				

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 1 of 13

SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION					
For budget changes ONLY please include information below:			Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average		
C (Cloops)	T	C40.4 ::	21/2		
Current spend on this service (£'0000s)	Total:	£10.1m	N/A		
Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s)	Per Annum:	0	N/A		
Increase to this service budget (£'000s)	Per Annum:	£11.87m	N/A		
If this is a change to a charge or	Current Annual Income Total:				
concession please complete.	Expected Annual Income Total:				
If this is a budget decision, when will the	Start Date:				

End Date (if any):

saving be achieved?

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 2 of 13

SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the protected characteristic groups.)

A - Quantitative Evidence

This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.

Strategic Needs Ass 2023

Locality Profiles

HSCP Performance

Engagement Pres inc Equalities

During the development of the Investment Plan we have made extensive use of local SNAs and performance information to identify funding priorities for each of the 13 thematic funds. Each fund includes a strategic map, aligning national strategy to local strategy/plans. A 'Key challenges' has also been included for each fund, which provides overview of the information that has been used from national and local strategies to inform the development of the fund.

e.g. Carers Fund:

'We know that unpaid Carers are the largest group of care providers in Scotland, providing more than the NHS and Councils combined. In 2015, the Valuing Carers report estimated the economic value of the contribution made by carers in Falkirk as £337 million per year.

According to the latest available Census data (2011), 35.7% of carers in Falkirk provide over 35 hours or more care a week, equivalent to working full time - 29% of these people were aged 65 and over. Provision of unpaid care is higher among adults living in the most deprived areas.'

B - Qualitative Evidence

This is data which describes the effect or impact of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance reporting.

Social - case studies; personal / group feedback / other

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 3 of 13

The overall performance of the Partnership Funding IP is report to the IJB on an annual basis via the APR and also a dedicated Partnership Funding APR. This provides impact/ performance per fund and also an analysis of target groups and categories. Equalities information is included within this, however is subject to development during 24/25.

APR 22-23

PF Annual Per update 22-23

Each fund has also been informed via engagement with services users and carers. This has been both via making use of recent consultation feedback from Strategic Plan, Carers Strategy and Equalities Outcome consultations and focused engagement with service user groups e.g. People impacted by Dementia and their carers/families, which is currently ongoing and will be used to inform the Dementia Innovation Fund priorities.

e.g. Carers Fund:

'Feedback gathered during the development of the Falkirk HSCP Strategic Plan and Falkirk Carers Strategy highlighted:

- Many unpaid carers may not be aware of, or understand, the support that is available to them and what they are entitled to.
- There may be stigma around the role of a carer and the term "unpaid carer", which prevents carers from seeking support.
- Feedback from unpaid carers highlighted problems getting appropriate respite care when required.
- Financial pressures, combining cost of living, poverty prevalence, and the financial impact of caring.
- The developing or changing relationship between an unpaid carer and the cared for person.'

Best Judgement:	
Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence?	Yes
Who provided the best judgement and what was this based on?	Commissioning Group representatives associated with each fund. Each fund has a commissioning group comprising multi-agency representation and services users and carers, where possible who bring a range of knowledge and experience from own fields of work.
What gaps in data / information were identified?	Gaps identified: Local data regarding dementia and community based activity for older people Lived experience re Substance use, trauma/gender based violence, dementia
Is further research necessary?	Yes
If NO, please state why.	

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 4 of 13

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 5 of 13

SECTION FOUR: ENGAGEMENT Engagemen	t with individual	s or organisations affected by the policy or proposal must take place	
Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status?	Yes		
If YES, please state who was engagement with.	The IP has taken into consideration feedback provided during engagement work to inform the Strategic Plan and Equalities Outcomes, which was gathered from service users, carers, wider communities and staff across the partnership (including external partner). Fund specific engagement has also been undertaken and is ongoing with service users and carers representatives who are members of commissioning groups and scrutiny panels e.g. Partnership Funding Group and Strategic Planning Group.		
If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why.			
How was the engagement carried out?		What were the results from the engagement? Please list	
Focus Group	No		
Survey	No No		
Display / Exhibitions	No		
User Panels	No		
Public Event	No		
Other: please specify	We have used feedback from existing recent engagement exercises to minimize consultation fatigue. This has provided an excellent range of information that has then been used to support the development of funding priorities for each fund as detailed within the IP. We will continue to engage with service users and carers through a mix of methods. This will include including representatives on thematic groups/panel and working with lived experience forums. As the IP is implemented service users and carers will also be involved in monitoring and decision making processes. This will relate to each fund, as appropriate.		
Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed a result of the engagement?	I / changed as	Yes	
Have the results of the engagement been fed back to the consultees?		Yes	

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 6 of 13

Is further engagement recommended?	Yes

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 7 of 13

SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Equality Protected Characteristics:

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, carers etc.

Protected Characteristic	Neutral Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic.
Age		√		£1.5m Widening Access Fund will enhance local provision for adults, with a particular emphasis on older people. Carers Fund supports carers of which 30% are over 65 (figure for cared for person significantly higher). Dementia Innovation Fund and MHRR Fund target people impacted by dementia and their families, the majority of services users are older people (less than 10% people under 55).
Disability		✓		Widening Access fund will increase access and community based option for people with disabilities. Carers Improvement Fund intends to support access to SDS.
Sex	✓			Investment in domestic abuse/gender based violence services. 80% cases reported include make perpetrator and female victim. The scale of this issue is unlikely to change significantly, although women and girls will be better supported.
Ethnicity		✓		Carers Fund and Services for Survivors support focused provision to help increase access to services for BME groups. Budget is also allocated from Partnership Funds to allow Third Sector partners to access interpretation and translation services.
Religion / Belief / non-Belief	~			No impact is anticipated. All projects/services are required to confirm no religious intention as part of application for funds process.
Sexual Orientation			✓	No evidence suggests impact.
Transgender	✓			No impact is anticipated.
Pregnancy / Maternity	✓			No impact is anticipated.
Marriage / Civil Partnership	✓			No impact is anticipated.
Poverty		✓		Health Improvement Funds and Widening Access Fund targets public health and health inequalities. We work closely with Fairer Falkirk Partnership and include representatives in assessment panel to ensure that impact on poverty is considered
Care Experienced	√			No impact is anticipated. Services for younger people include people up to 26 who are care experienced.

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 8 of 13

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change)

	Evidence of Due Regard
Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct):	The Investment Plan will work towards eliminating unlawful discrimination through the Carers Fund and Services for Survivors support by focussing provision to help increase access to services for BME groups. Budget is also allocated from Partnership Funds to allow Third Sector partners to access interpretation and translation services.
Advance Equality of Opportunity:	The Investment Plan advances equality of opportunity through the widening access fund which will increase access and community based options for people with disabilities.
Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice):	Dementia Innovation Fund, Suicide Prevention Fund and ADP Funds in particular have a focus on raising awareness and understanding and reducing prejudice for those impacted by conditions and across wider communities.

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 9 of 13

SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDER			
Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project?		Describe the interest / affect.	
Business	Yes	As part of the Dementia Innovation Fund, Dementia Friendly Falkirk will be progressed. This will include awareness raising activities for local businesses.	
Councils	Yes	Falkirk Council is able to access Partnership Funds to support activity in line with HSCP Strategic Plan. The work undertaken via funds contributes directly to Corporate Plan CPP Plan, Integrated Children's Services Plan and Fairer Falkirk Plan.	
Education Sector	Yes	Funded initiatives focusing on Young carers, transitions and mental health will have a positive impact on the education sector in relation to attendance and attainment.	
Fire	Yes	Scottish Fire Service are represented on the Suicide Prevention Fund Group and Gender Based Violence Partnership. Fire service are also eligible applicants.	
NHS	Yes	All Partnership Funds are intended to have a positive impact on formal NHS services by developing services that help avoid admission, support faster discharge, support recovery, delay or avoid access to formal health services.	
Integration Joint Board	Yes	All Partnership Funds are intended to enable a whole systems approach is taken and to have a positive impact on formal NHS and social care services by developing services that help avoid admission, support faster discharge, support recovery, delay or avoid access to formal health services.	
Police	Yes	Police are represented on Suicide Prevention Group, Falkirk Mental Health Planning Group, Gender Based Violence Partnership, which are all supported via Partnership Funds. Fire service are also eligible applicants.	
Third Sector	Yes	Third and community sector partners are critical to the success of delivery of the investment plan. They are eligible applicants, partners in development and collaborative service design and delivery gatekeeper to services users and carers in relation to engagement activity and local knowledge about service needs.	
Other(s): please list and describe the nature of the relationship / impact.			

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 10 of 13

SECTION SEVEN: ACTION PLANNING

Mitigating Actions:

If you have identified impacts on protected characteristic groups in Section 5 please summarise these in the table below detailing the actions you are taking to mitigate or support this impact. If you are not taking any action to support or mitigate the impact you should complete the No Mitigating Actions section below instead.

Identified Impact	To Who	Action(s)	Lead Officer	and Review	Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes

No Mitigating Actions

Please explain why you do not need to take any action to mitigate or support the impact of your proposals.

The assessed impacts on Age, Disability, Poverty, Ethnicity and Carers in positive. Commissioning Groups aligned to each fund will be responsible for maintaining an overview of impact on equalities groups. Where there is a review of any funding, which may result in change an equalities impact assessment will be undertaken for services/projects impacted, as evidenced within the Main Programme Review process in 2023. Equalities information will also be reported as part of the annual Partnership Funding Performance update.

Are actions being reported to Members?	Yes
If yes when and how ?	Annually on on request - in line with PF governance process. Updates are also provided to Senior Leadership Team on a 6-monthly basis.

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 11 of 13

SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME									
Only one of following statements best matches your assessment of this proposal / policy / project. Please select one and provide your reasons.									
No major change required	Yes	The Investment Plan sets out investment of £18m over the 2024-2027 period. This will be targeted towards priority areas as identified through strategic needs assessment, national policy drivers and engagement with service users, carers and other stakeholders. No negative impact on protected characteristic groups is anticipated, however this will be monitored on an ongoing basis.							
The proposal has to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected characteristic groups	No								
Continue with the proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk to protected characteristic groups	No								
Stop the proposal as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation	No								
SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF									
Lead Officer:									

Date:

Lesley MacArthur

Signature:

05/03/2024

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 12 of 13

SECTION TE	N: EPIA TASK	GROUP O	NLY						
OVERALL AS					ed the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as opropriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the requality duties?				
ASSESSMENT FINDINGS									
If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA									
the EPIA	nis box to high	llight actio	ons needed to improve						
Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing without making changes been made?				Yes / No	If YES, ple	ase describ	be:		
LEVEL OF IM	1PACT: The El	PIA Task G	roup has agreed the follow	ving level of in	npact on the	e protected	d characteristic groups highlighted w	ithin th	e EPIA
LEVEL COMMENTS									
HIGH	Yes / No								
MEDIUM	Yes								
LOW	Yes / No								
SECTION EL	EVEN: CHIEF C	FFICER SIG	GN OFF						
Director / H	lead of Service	:							
Signature:	Martin David Thom					Date:	10/04/2024		

Printed: 11/04/2024 14:06 Page: 13 of 13