Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00843 (Version 1)

SECTION ONE:	ESSENTIAL INFORMATION	N				
Service & Divis	sion: Social Work Adult S	ervices		Lead Officer Name	: Lesley MacArthur	
	None			Team	Policy, Planning & Communications	
				Те	: 07850250552	
				Emai	l: lesley.macarthur@falkirk	gov.uk
Proposal:	Budget Recovery Action - Release of one off reserves held - one off use of portion of Dementia Innovation Fund			Reference No	:	
What is the Pr	oposal?	Budget & Other Financial Decision	Policy (New or Change)		HR Policy & Practice	Change to Service Delivery / Service Design
		Yes	No		No	No
Who does the	Proposal affect?	Service Users	Members of the Public		Employees	Job Applicants
		No	No		No	No
Other, please	specify:	Indirect impact as there is currently no service provided via this resource.				
Identify the m	ain aims and projected ou	tcome of this proposal (please	add date o	f each update):		
31/03/2025	Budget Recovery Action -	Release of one off reserves held - one off use of portion of Dementia Innovation Fund				

SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION						
For budget changes ONLY please include info	mation below:		Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average			
Current spend on this service (£'0000s) Total:		100,000	£100,000 recurring budget plus £400,000 non recurring reserve			
Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s)	Per Annum:	50,000	One off reduction to current reserve			
Increase to this service budget (£'000s)	Per Annum:					
If this is a change to a charge or	Current Annual Income Total:					
concession please complete.	Expected Annual Income Total:					
If this is a budget decision, when will the	Start Date:	27/09/2024				
saving be achieved?	End Date (if any):	31/03/2025				

SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE	Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the protected characteristic groups.)
A - Quantitative Evidence	This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.

In Falkirk in 2021/22, general practice data suggested that 1,198 people registered had dementia (0.8% of the population), however, it is important to note that this figure is likely an underestimate as it will only include those who have been registered with a diagnosis of dementia. The estimated number of people with dementia in Falkirk is likely to increase by around 40% in the next 25 years as the population ages.

The proposed £50k reduction to the reserve does not currently fund any activity and therefore there is no direct impact on service users or carers. There is however some impact on the Partnership's ability to target resource to areas of improvement of need over the next 3 years. The budget for activity will reduce by £50k.

An recurring budget of £100k is currently in place to support initiatives supporting people affected by dementia (Dementia Innovation Fund). In addition to the recurring budget there is a £400k reserve, which has accrued over a number of years due to under commitment of the recurring resource. This funding is in addition to mainstream health and social care budgets and ringfenced Scottish Govt funds that are used to fund current service provision.

A challenge fund was developed as a means of allocating the DIF. Priorities were established based on national priorities and local needs. These are:

- Respite and emotional support for carers
- Weekend and overnight support
- Community based support
- Developing community resilience
- Workforce development
- Training and awareness raising
- Early intervention/prevention including brain health
- Service improvement via use of Technology Enabled Care (TEC)
- Post Diagnostic Support (PDS)

The DIF has not been fully allocated as the Dementia Strategy Group have taken time to undertake a full review of the Dementia pathway in order to establish local priorities and areas of service improvement. The national strategy was also delayed and to ensure contribution to national priorities, we delayed fully launching the challenge fund.

B - Qualitative Evidence

This is data which describes the effect or impact of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance reporting.

Social - case studies; personal / group feedback / other

Falkirk Dementia Strategy Group work within the context of the National Dementia Strategy and Falkirk HSCP Strategic Plan:

<u>Dementia in Scotland: Everyone's Story</u> is Scotland's Dementia Strategy. In conjunction with the national strategy, the Scottish Government will produce two-year delivery plans to support the delivery of the vision and priorities of the national strategy. The first <u>delivery plan</u> forms a programme of work for 2024-2026.

The strategy sets out a positive, shared vision for dementia over the next 10 years. It recognises the need to improve our understanding of dementia and brain health, and its impact on everyone, regardless of gender, ethnicity, additional disability or age.

Scotland's Vision:

"Our vision is of a Scotland where people living with dementia have their strengths recognised, their rights upheld, and where they, their families and care partners/unpaid carers are supported to live an independent life, free from stigma and with person-centred treatment and care, when and where they need it."

The difference Scotland's Dementia Strategy intends to make is:

1. Dementia is recognised in public health and in practice as disease of the brain that affects a person's whole life and those close to them. Diagnosis can bring significant mental health and wellbeing challenges that need to be acknowledged and addressed to ensure a person's rights are upheld.

2. Policy makers, support and service providers, communities and society understand dementia, including the importance of prevention and early detection, and are inclusive of people living with dementia, able to engage and respond confidently and appropriately, creating environments that enable people to live well with dementia.

3. People living with dementia and their care partners/unpaid carers have equity of access to high quality, information and advice, evidence-based treatment, care and support when and where they need it, including dementia specific palliative care, and have access to practitioners with appropriate dementia care knowledge and skills.

4. The human rights of people living with dementia and their care partners are upheld throughout their dementia journey.

5. People are supported by a skilled and knowledgeable workforce that accesses the highest quality dementia specialist education and training, and implements evidence-based, including trauma-informed, practice.

Falkirk HSCP Strategic Plan (2023-2026) sets out the Partnership's vision, local outcomes, and priorities that will help improve the lives of people in the Falkirk area and outlines how we will deliver adult health and social carer services in Falkirk over three years.

Falkirk HSCP Vision:

"To enable people in Falkirk HSCP area to live full and positive lives within supportive and inclusive communities."

Falkirk HSCP Priorities:

- 1. Support and strengthen community-based services.
- 2. Ensure people can access the right carer at the right time, in the right place.
- 3. Focus on prevention, early intervention, and minimising harm.
- 4. Ensure carers are supported in their caring role.

These priorities will be driven by three workstreams – Workforce, Technology, and Communication and Engagement.

Proposed Falkirk Vision:

"People affected by dementia, including those that support them, have knowledge and equal access to the right service at the right time by the right person to ensure the best possible quality of life."

Our proposed Priorities:

- Respite and emotional support for carers
- Weekend and overnight support
- Community based support
- Developing community resilience
- Workforce development
- Training and awareness raising
- Early intervention/prevention including brain health
- Service improvement via use of Technology Enabled Care (TEC)
- Post Diagnostic Support (PDS)

Our proposed Outcomes:

1. Increased awareness of dementia and reduced stigma.

- 2. Information and support are accessible for people affected by dementia and their families.
- 3. People with dementia are able to stay at home and live within their communities for as long as possible.
- 4. Skilled workforce.
- 5. People's rights are respected and a 24/7 approach to dementia care a

Best Judgement:	
Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence?	No
Who provided the best judgement and what was this based on?	
What gaps in data / information were identified?	As this fund is not allocated, there is no monitoring information etc to highlight impact.
Is further research necessary?	No
If NO, please state why.	No further research is required as the funding proposed as a reduction is not currently allocated and there will be a remaining budget of approx £350k to support local need.

Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status?	No	
If YES, please state who was engagement with.		
If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why.	in order to dev	Group has undertaken extensive consultation and engagement with staff, service users and carers velop local priorities and outcomes, however as the fund is not allocated there has been no elating to the budget reduction.
How was the engagement carried out?		What were the results from the engagement? Please list
Focus Group	No	
Survey	No	
Display / Exhibitions	No	
User Panels	No	
Public Event	No	
Other: please specify		
Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed / changed as a result of the engagement?		No
Have the results of the engagement been fed back to the consultees?		Νο
Is further engagement recommended?		No

SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Equality Protected Characteristics:

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, carers etc.

Protected Characteristic	Neutral Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic.	
Age	✓			Unallocated resource - no service in place	
Disability	✓			Unallocated resource - no service in place	
Sex	✓			Unallocated resource - no service in place	
Ethnicity	✓			Unallocated resource - no service in place	
Religion / Belief / non-Belief	✓			Unallocated resource - no service in place	
Sexual Orientation	✓			Unallocated resource - no service in place	
Transgender	✓			Unallocated resource - no service in place	
Pregnancy / Maternity	✓			Unallocated resource - no service in place	
Marriage / Civil Partnership	✓			Unallocated resource - no service in place	
Poverty	✓			Unallocated resource - no service in place	
Care Experienced	✓			Unallocated resource - no service in place	
Other, health, community justice, carers etc.	~			Unallocated resource - no service in place	
Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change)	Unallocated	resource - no	o service in pl	lace	

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

	Evidence of Due Regard
Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct):	Dementia Steering Group have sufficient access to resource to maintain the current level of service and following this reduction will continue to have £350k non-recurring reserve to support change and improvement as a result of review work and collaborating together.
Advance Equality of Opportunity:	Dementia Steering Group have sufficient access to resource to maintain the current level of service and following this reduction will continue to have £350k non-recurring reserve to support change and improvement as a result of review work and collaborating together
Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice):	Dementia Steering Group have sufficient access to resource to maintain the current level of service and following this reduction will continue to have £350k non-recurring reserve to support change and improvement as a result of review work and collaborating together

SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDERS					
Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project?		Describe the interest / affect.			
Business	No				
Councils	Yes	The reduction of the Dementia Innovation Fund will result in a reduction of funds available to progress innovation and change within dementia services. Services and partners may be unable to develop or progress with new aspects of work/provision without having to identify resource via service redesign or alternative sources. However, it should be noted that given the overall financial context, there is a general requirement to focus on strengthening and improving core provision to suit local need rather than developing new provision/service.			
Education Sector Yes		The reduction of the Dementia Innovation Fund will result in a reduction of funds available to progress innovation and change within dementia services. Services and partners may be unable to develop or progress with new aspects of work/provision without having to identify resource via service redesign or alternative sources. However, it should be noted that given the overall financia context, there is a general requirement to focus on strengthening and improving core provision to suit local need rather than developing new provision/service.			
Fire	No				
NHS	Yes	The reduction of the Dementia Innovation Fund will result in a reduction of funds available to progress innovation and change within dementia services. Services and partners may be unable to develop or progress with new aspects of work/provision without having to identify resource via service redesign or alternative sources. However, it should be noted that given the overall financial context, there is a general requirement to focus on strengthening and improving core provision to suit local need rather than developing new provision/service.			
Integration Joint Board	Yes	The reduction of the Dementia Innovation Fund will result in a reduction of funds available to progress innovation and change within dementia services. Services and partners may be unable to develop or progress with new aspects of work/provision without having to identify resource via service redesign or alternative sources. However, it should be noted that given the overall financial context, there is a general requirement to focus on strengthening and improving core provision to suit local need rather than developing new provision/service.			
Police	No				

Third Sector	Yes	The reduction of the Dementia Innovation Fund will result in a reduction of funds available to progress innovation and change within dementia services. Services and partners may be unable to develop or progress with new aspects of work/provision without having to identify resource via service redesign or alternative sources. However, it should be noted that given the overall financial context, there is a general requirement to focus on strengthening and improving core provision to suit local need rather than developing new provision/service.
Other(s): please list and describe the nature of the relationship / impact.		

SECTION SEVEN: ACTION	I PLANNING						
Mitigating Actions: If you have identified impacts on protected characteristic groups in Section 5 please summarise these in the table below detailing the actions you are taking to mitigate or support this impact. If you are not taking any action to support or mitigate the impact you should complete the No Mitigating Actions section section below instead.							
Identified Impact	To Who	Action(s)	Lead Officer	Evaluation and Review Date	Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes		
No Mitigating Actions							
Please explain why you	do not need to take any	action to mitigate or support the impa	ct of your proposals.				
There is no direct impac	t on people as the resou	rce is unallocated. The impact is opport	unity for services/partner	·s.			
Are actions being report	ed to Members?	No					
If yes when and how ?							

SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME

Only one of following statements best matches your assessment of this proposal / policy / project. Please select one and provide your reasons.						
No major change required	Yes	No direct impact for services users, carers or community				
The proposal has to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected characteristic groups	No					
Continue with the proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk to protected characteristic groups	No					
Stop the proposal as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation	No					
	1					

SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF						
Lead Officer:	Lead Officer:					
Signature:	Lesley MacArthur	Date:	18/09/2024			

SECTION TEN: EPIA TASK GROUP ONLY								
OVERALL ASSESSME	NT OF EPIA	A: Has the EPIA demonstrated the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as well as ownership and appropriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the general and public sector equality duties?						
ASSESSMENT FINDIN	IGS							
If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA								
If NO, use this box to the EPIA	o highlight	actions needed to improve						
Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing <u>without</u> <u>making changes been made</u> ?			Yes / No	If YES, please describe:				
LEVEL OF IMPACT: The EPIA Task Group has agreed the following level of impact on the protected characteristic groups highlighted within the EPIA								
LEVEL	CON	IMENTS						
HIGH Yes / N	0							

MEDIUM	Yes / No				
LOW	Yes / No				
SECTION ELEVEN: CHIEF OFFICER SIGN OFF					

Director / Head of Service:							
Signature:	Marie Keirs	Date:	19/09/2024				